

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/922,842

Applicant(s)

YAMANOUCI ET AL.

Examiner

Callie E. Shosho

Art Unit

1714

All Participants:(1) Callie E. Shosho.(2) Roger Lee.**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

30,40,42-43,45

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Lee in order to clarify that claims 30,40,42-43, and 45 are drawn to method of producing an ink.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the ~~Notice of Allowability~~ Part II above.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____